

## **REMARKS**

### **INTRODUCTORY REMARKS**

Applicants respectfully request entry of the amendments and remarks from applicant's September 3, 2009 Reply with this request for continued examination.

Following entry of this amendment, claims 56, 69-71, and 78 are pending and claims 1-55, 57-68, 72-77 are canceled.

### **THE CLAIM AMENDMENTS**

Applicants have canceled claim 76. Applicants have canceled this claim without prejudice or waiver of applicants' right to file for and obtain claims directed to any canceled subject matter in this application or in divisional or continuing applications claiming priority from this application.

Applicants have amended claim 56 to improve its form. Specifically, applicants have amended claim 56 to recite specific ACE inhibitors and to specify that the ACE inhibitor is at a concentration effective to synergistically stimulate the ability of the BMP morphogen to reduce proteinuria levels in a patient having diabetic nephropathy. Support for this amendment may be found, for example, at specification page 98, line 20 – page 99, line 5 and page 142, line 18 – page 143, line 14.

Applicants have amended claim 78 to remove its dependency from canceled claim 76.

None of the amendments introduces any new matter.

## **THE REJECTIONS**

### **Claim rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a)**

#### **Claims 56, 70-71, 76 and 78**

In the September 29, 2009 Advisory Action, the Examiner maintained the rejection of claims 56, 70-71, 76 and 78 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being obvious over U.S. Patent 6,498,142 ("Sampath") and London et al., Journal of Hypertension, 14:1139-1146 (1996) ("London"). As discussed in the July 6, 2009 Final Office Action, the Examiner contends that Sampath discloses that OP-1 successfully attenuates renal failure in an art-accepted model for renal failure and that London teaches the administration of ACE inhibitors for the treatment of hypertensive subjects with end stage renal disease. The Examiner concludes that both OP-1 and ACE inhibitors are used to treat the same patient population (patients with renal disease) and that the skilled worker would be motivated to apply OP-1 and ACE inhibitors to individuals with renal disease, as evidenced by Ritz, Am. J. Hypertension, 8:53S-58S (1995) ("Ritz") and de Zeeuw et al., Canadian Journal of Cardiology, 11(Suppl.F):41F-44F (1995) ("de Zeeuw").

The Examiner further contends in the Advisory Action that *In re Soni*, 34 U.S.P.Q. 2d. 1684 (Fed. Cir. 1995) fails to rebut a *prima facie* case of obviousness. Specifically, the Examiner states that *In re Soni* is distinguishable from the instant application because (1) it is directed to product by process claims that result in a physical/structural difference that imparts greater tensile strength; (2) the claims recite a specific molecular weight of the polymer; and (3) the only remarks made in *In re Soni* with regard to synergism were found in the dissenting opinion. The Examiner states that in contrast to *In re Soni*, the instant claims are drawn to broad compositions already

known in the art (any BMP and any ACE inhibitor) that when administered together improve proteinuria in a synergistic fashion. Applicants traverse.

Applicants have canceled claim 76, thus rendering the rejection moot with respect to that claim.

With respect to the remaining claims, applicants respectfully submit that they are not obvious over Sampath and London (as further evidenced by Ritz and de Zeeuw). Nonetheless, solely to expedite prosecution, applicants have amended claim 56 (and therefore, dependent claims 70-71, and 78) to specify that the ACE inhibitor is selected from the group consisting of enalapril, lisinopril, quinapril, ramipril, ciliazapril and benazapril and that the ACE inhibitor is at a concentration effective to synergistically stimulate the ability of the BMP morphogen to reduce proteinuria levels in a patient having diabetic nephropathy. Nothing in Sampath, London, Ritz and de Zeeuw, either alone or in combination, renders the amended claims of the instant application obvious.

Applicants submit that nowhere in any of Sampath, London, Ritz and de Zeeuw is there any teaching or suggestion to combine a BMP and an ACE inhibitor, let alone, to combine an ACE inhibitor selected from the Markush group of inhibitors wherein the ACE inhibitor is at a concentration effective to synergistically stimulate the ability of the BMP morphogen to reduce proteinuria.

Applicants submit that the specifically recited ACE inhibitors -- enalapril, lisinopril, quinapril, ramipril, ciliazapril and benazapril -- are structurally related inhibitors and would exhibit similar functional activity. *See*, Kostis, Journal of Human Hypertension, 3(Suppl.1):119-125 (1989), Table I, attached herein as Exhibit A. Applicants submit that although the specification

demonstrates the effect of enalapril with OP-1( as the BMP), one of ordinary skill in the art would appreciate that any of the other recited ACE inhibitors would act in a similar manner. Moreover, the amended claims require the specific ACE inhibitor to be at a concentration which results in synergy with the BMP morphogen to reduce proteinuria levels in a patient having diabetic nephropathy. Thus, only those recited ACE inhibitors that are present at those concentrations that are effective to synergistically stimulate the ability of the BMP morphogens to reduce proteinuria levels are claimed. This feature is also nowhere taught or suggested in any of the references.

Furthermore, applicants submit that *In re Soni* supports the rebuttal of the *prima facie* case of obviousness in the instant application. *In re Soni* indicates that a showing of unexpected results is "to show that the claimed invention exhibits some superior property or advantage that a person of ordinary skill in the relevant art would have found surprising or unexpected." *Id.* at 1687. The synergy that results from the claimed combination of a BMP and the specifically recited ACE inhibitors is clearly a superior property or advantage. Moreover, applicants have demonstrated that the synergistic property of the reduced proteinuria levels was surprising or unexpected. *See*, Example 4, specification pages 142-143.

Thus, based on the state of the art, the skilled worker would not have been motivated to combine any of the specifically recited ACE inhibitors with a BMP morphogen to reduce proteinuria. Nor would the skilled worker have expected that the combination would result in a synergistic effect. For all of the above reasons, applicants submit that the amended claims of the instant application are not obvious and request that the Examiner withdraw this rejection.

Claim 69

The Examiner has maintained the rejection of claim 69 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being obvious over Sampath, London and Salvetti et al., Drugs, 40:800-28 (1990) ("Salvetti") for the reasons of record in the July 6, 2009 Final Office Action. The Examiner states that Sampath and London do not teach that the ACE inhibitor is enalapril but that Salvetti reviews and compares ACE inhibitors including enalapril. The Examiner further states that Salvetti teaches that enalapril is more potent and has a longer duration of action. The Examiner concludes that the skilled worker would be motivated to use enalapril because of the greater potency and duration and could have reasonably expected success. Applicants traverse.

As discussed above, nothing in Sampath or London, either alone or in combination, teaches or suggests combining the specifically recited ACE inhibitors with a BMP morphogen to reduce proteinuria levels or that the combination would have a synergistic effect on reducing proteinuria levels. Salvetti does not remedy this deficiency. Salvetti only discloses that enalapril is more potent and has longer duration of action than other ACE inhibitors. That disclosure in no way would motivate the skilled worker to combine enalapril with BMPs to synergistically reduce proteinuria levels. Therefore, the combination of Sampath, London and Salvetti does not render claim 69 obvious for the same reasons that the combination of Sampath and London does not render the claim obvious. Accordingly, applicants respectfully request that the Examiner withdraw this rejection.

**CONCLUSION**

In view of the foregoing remarks, applicants request that the Examiner reconsider and withdraw all outstanding rejections and allow the pending claims.

The Examiner is invited to telephone applicants' representatives regarding any matter that may be handled by telephone to expedite allowance of the pending claims.

Respectfully submitted,

\_\_\_\_\_  
/Ryan D. Murphey/  
Connie Wong (Reg. No. 62,901)  
Attorney for Applicants  
Ryan Murphey (Reg. No. 61,156)  
Agent for Applicants

ROPES & GRAY LLP (Customer No. 1473)  
1211 Avenue of the Americas  
New York, New York 10036-8704  
(212) 596-9000

Journal of Human

# HYPERTENSION

**ACE Inhibition—The Next Decade**

**Focus on Lisinopril**



**Volume 3    Supplement 1    June 1989**

# Journal of Human HYPERTENSION

Volume 3 Supplement 1 June 1989

## ACE INHIBITION — THE NEXT DECADE

### Contents

#### PREFACE

PROFESSOR SIR COLIN DOLLERY

#### SECTION A—HYPERTENSION, ARTERIAL COMPLIANCE AND THE RAA SYSTEM

##### *Hypertension*

- 3 Balanced 24-hour blood pressure control by angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors administered once daily  
PARATI, G., RAVOGLI, A., TRAZZI, S., OMBONI, S., FRATTOLA, A., LATTUADA, S., LIBRETTI, A. & MANCIA, G.
- 11 Assessment of the antihypertensive effect of lisinopril using 24-hour ambulatory monitoring  
HERPIN, D. & CONTE, D.
- 17 Lisinopril in the treatment of hypertension  
MESSERLI, F. H. & KAESER, U. R.
- 23 ACE inhibitors in mild to moderate hypertension: comparison of lisinopril and captopril administered once daily  
GOSSE, P., DALLOCCIO, M., GOURGON, R. (FRENCH COOPERATIVE STUDY GROUP)
- 29 Lisinopril versus slow-release nifedipine in the treatment of mild to moderate hypertension: a multicentre study  
WITCHITZ, S. & SERRADIMIGNI, A. for the COOPERATIVE STUDY GROUP
- 35 A comparison of single doses of lisinopril and enalapril in hypertension  
DEWS, I., WISEMAN, W. T., AL-KHAWAJA, I., STEPHENS, J. & VANDENBURG, M.
- 41 Atrial natriuretic factor: implications in congestive heart failure and hypertension  
BURNETT, J. C.

##### *Arterial Compliance*

- 47 Systolic blood pressure: arterial compliance and early wave reflection, and their modification by antihypertensive therapy  
O'ROURKE, M.
- 53 Arterial compliance in hypertension  
LONDON, G. M., MARCHAIS, S. J. & SAFAR, M. E.
- 57 Angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibition and compliance of the carotid artery in normotensive and hypertensive rats  
LEVY, B. I., BENESSIANO, J., POITEVIN, P. & SAFAR, M. E.

##### *The RAA System*

- 63 The tissue renin-angiotensin system: a target for angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors  
GANTEN, D., MULLINS, J. & LINDPAINTNER, K.
- 71 Localisation and physiological effects of tissue renin-angiotensin systems  
SWALES, J. D. & SAMANI, N. J.



## SECTION B—CARDIAC ASPECTS OF ACE INHIBITION

### *Heart Failure*

- 79 Mechanisms for improved survival in heart failure  
SWEDBERG, K.
- 83 Lisinopril in the treatment of congestive heart failure  
GILES, T. D.

### *New Areas*

- 89 Cardiac arrhythmias – a new indication for angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors?  
WESSELING, H., DE GRAEFF, P. A., VAN GILST, W. H., KINGMA, J. H. &  
DE LANGEN, C. D. J.
- 97 Beta-blockers with ACE inhibitors – a logical combination  
HANSSON, L.
- 101 The effects of angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibition on coronary blood flow and infarct size  
limitation  
DARGIE, H. J. & RAY, S. G.

## SECTION C—RENAL EFFECTS

- 107 Angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibition in renal disease; contrasting effects on renal function  
in renal artery stenosis and progressive renal injury  
JACKSON, B. & JOHNSTON, C. I.
- 117 Angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibition in diabetic nephropathy (*Short communication*)  
PARVING, H-H.

## SECTION D—ACE INHIBITOR PHARMACOLOGY AND SAFETY PROFILE

### *Pharmacology*

- 119 Pharmacological differentiation of angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors  
KOSTIS, J. B.
- 127 The clinical pharmacology of lisinopril  
CASE, D. E.

### *Interactions*

- 133 Drug interactions with ACE inhibitors  
BRECKENRIDGE, A. M.
- 139 Comparative pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics of lisinopril and enalapril, alone and in  
combination with propranolol  
BENDTSEN, F. & HENRIKSEN, J. H.
- 147 Comparative pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics of enalapril and lisinopril, alone and  
with hydralazine  
MCLEAN, A. J., DRUMMER, O. H., SMITH, H. J., FROOMES, P. & McNEIL, J. J.
- 153 Pharmacokinetics of enalapril and lisinopril in subjects with normal and impaired hepatic  
function  
HAYES, P. C., PLEVRIS, J. N. & BOUCHIER, I. A. D.

### *Safety and Side Effects*

- 159 Cough associated with angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors  
FULLER, R. W.
- 163 Safety and efficacy of lisinopril in elderly patients with mild to moderate hypertension  
MARLIER, R., VANDEPAPELIERE, P. & DE VRIESE, G.
- 169 ACE inhibitors in hypertension: assessment of taste and smell function in clinical trials  
NEIL-DWYER, G. & MARUS, A.
- 177 Clinical experience with lisinopril. Observations on safety and tolerability  
CAMERON, H. A. & HIGGINS, T. J. C.

## CONCLUDING REMARKS

- 187 PROFESSOR SIR COLIN DOLLERY

*The contribution of Dr H. A. Cameron, Senior Medical Advisor, ICI Pharmaceuticals,  
Macclesfield, UK, is gratefully acknowledged.*

The Journal of Human Hypertension is published by the Scientific & Medical Division, The Macmillan Press Ltd, Houndmills, Basingstoke, Hampshire RG21 2XS, UK  
Telephone: Basingstoke (0256) 29242  
Fax: (0256) 479476

The Journal of Human Hypertension will be published six times annually; later there will be twelve issues per annum. The editors will consider for publication all suitable papers dealing directly or indirectly with all clinical aspects of hypertension, including epidemiology. The journal aims to perform the dual role of increasing knowledge in the field of high blood pressure as well as improving the standard of care of patients.

© 1989 The Macmillan Press Ltd  
ISSN 0950-9240

Manuscripts and all editorial correspondence should be sent to:

Dr D. G. Beevers, Department of Medicine,  
Dudley Road Hospital, Birmingham B18 7QH,  
UK  
(Telephone 021 554 3801 ext. 5080/5086)

or

Contributors in North America may correspond directly with Dr. Franz H. Messerli, Ochsner Clinic, 1514 Jefferson Highway, New Orleans, LA 70121, USA  
(Telephone 504 838 4077)

This journal is included in the Excerpta Medica database (EMBASE), Current Contents and Index Medicus

The Editors reserve the right to make changes which may clarify or condense papers where this is considered desirable. All business correspondence, reprint requests and enquiries concerning advertising space & rates should be addressed to the Scientific & Medical Division, The Macmillan Press Ltd, Houndmills, Basingstoke, Hampshire RG21 2XS, UK.

Subscription price per volume of six issues: UK £75.00; rest of the world £95.00 or equivalent in any other currency. Orders must be accompanied by remittance. Individual rates (orders must be accompanied by *personal* cheque): UK £38.00; rest of world £48.00. Cheques should be made payable to *Macmillan Press*, and sent to: Subscription Department, Macmillan Press Ltd., Brunel Road, Houndmills, Basingstoke RG21 2XS, U.K.

Where appropriate, subscribers may make payments into UK Post Office Giro Account No. 519 2455. Japanese subscription enquiries to Nankodo Co Ltd, 42-6 Hongo 3-Chome, Bunkyo-ku, Tokyo 113, Japan. Full details must accompany payment.

All rights of reproduction are reserved in respect of all papers, articles, illustrations, etc., published in this journal in all countries of the world.

Authorization to photocopy items for internal or personal use, or the internal or personal use of specific clients, is granted by The Macmillan Press Ltd, for libraries and other users registered with the Copyright Clearance Center (CCC) Transaction Reporting Service, provided that the base fee of \$01.00 per copy, plus \$0.10 per page is paid directly to CCC, 21 Congress St, Salem, MA 01970, USA.

0950-9246/89 \$1.00 + \$0.10

Whilst every effort is made by the publishers and editorial board to see that no inaccurate or misleading data, opinion or statement appears in this Journal, they wish to make it clear that the data and opinions appearing in the articles and advertisements herein are the responsibility of the contributor or advertiser concerned. Accordingly, the publishers, the editorial committee and their respective employees, officers and agents accept no liability whatsoever for the consequences of any such inaccurate or misleading data, opinion or statement. Whilst every effort is made to ensure that drug doses and other quantities are presented accurately, readers are advised that new methods and techniques involving drug usage, and described within this journal, should only be followed in conjunction with the drug manufacturer's own published literature.

Printed and bound in Great Britain by Latimer Trend & Company Ltd, Plymouth

## Pharmacological differentiation of angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors

John B. Kostis

*Division of Cardiovascular Diseases and Hypertension, UMDNJ-Robert Wood Johnson Medical School, New Brunswick, New Jersey, USA*

**Summary:** Drugs within the ACE inhibitor class may be differentiated by criteria related to chemical structure, potency, metabolism, and pharmacokinetics. Duration of action, the relationship between chemical structure and clinical activity, and the need for bioactivation currently represent the most clinically relevant differences amongst the ACE inhibitor class.

### Introduction

ACE inhibitors were introduced into clinical practice 10 years ago and are widely prescribed for the treatment of hypertension and congestive heart failure (CHF).<sup>1-3</sup> In 1988, sales of two agents in this drug class exceeded one billion US dollars each. The commercial success of ACE inhibitors, based on their therapeutic efficacy, paucity of significant side effects, and emerging new indications, has created much interest in the synthesis and development of new compounds.<sup>4,5</sup> More than 50 molecules with ACE inhibitory activity and potential for clinical application have been synthesised, and more than 10 are now in clinical development worldwide.<sup>4-8</sup>

The increasing number of these compounds has made it necessary to classify them and delineate differences.<sup>9-10</sup> Table 1 contains a classification according to their origin and chemical structure. Most ACE inhibitors of potential clinical value are synthetic peptide analogues. These compounds, usually dipeptide or tripeptide analogues, can be further classified according to the moiety that functions as the zinc ligand. This moiety is the sulphydryl group for captopril, a carboxyl group for most other ACE inhibitors in use or in development, and phosphinyl for fosinopril.

Although the ACE inhibitors share similar general properties and do not differ markedly in their clinical utility, differences amongst them are becoming apparent. A list of these is presented in Table II. Among the properties used to differen-

tiate ACE inhibitors, some are of theoretical rather than practical importance at the present time, while others are clinically relevant.

### Chemical class

Chemical class is an important dimension for differentiating ACE inhibitors since it determines many of their properties. In particular, considerable attention has been paid to the presence or absence of the sulphydryl (-SH) moiety. During the original synthesis of the first clinically useful oral ACE inhibitor (captopril), the sulphydryl group was inserted in order to increase the potency of the compound. It was noted that substitution of a sulphydryl for the carboxyl group of a chemical precursor of captopril results in increased potency because of the strong binding of the sulphydryl group to the zinc atom of the converting enzyme. Of the compounds currently marketed, only captopril contains a sulphydryl group.

Initially, captopril was administered at a high dose level to patients refractory to other forms of treatment, and it was associated with a significant incidence of serious side effects. The sulphydryl group was widely implicated as a potential mediator of these adverse effects. The side effects of captopril and other sulphydryl-containing compounds have been reviewed by Jaffe and include leucopenia, taste disturbance, skin rashes, proteinuria, neutropenia and oral ulcers.<sup>11</sup> Subsequent use of captopril at lower doses and in patients without collagen disease or renal failure has demonstrated that this agent is remarkably safe and not associated with a decline in renal function or autoim-

Correspondence: Dr John B. Kostis, MD, Chief, Division of Cardiovascular Diseases and Hypertension, UMDNJ-Robert Wood Johnson Medical School, CN 19, New Brunswick, New Jersey 08903-0019, USA.

Table I Classification of ACE inhibitors.

- 
- I. Natural*
- a. Peptides
    1. snake (e.g. teprotide, BPP<sub>1</sub>)
    2. human (e.g. enkephalins, substance P)
    3. microbial (e.g. anovenin, muracin)
  - b. Non-peptides (e.g. bicyclic lactams)
- II. Synthetic*
- a. Peptides (e.g. phe<sup>8</sup>-ala-pro)
  - b. Peptide analogues (di- or tri-peptide)
    1. Sulphur as zinc ligand (e.g. alacepril, captopril, pentiapril, pivalopril, zofenopril)
    2. Carboxyl as zinc ligand (e.g. benazepril, cilazapril, delapril, enalapril, lisinopril, perindopril, quinapril, ramipril)
    3. Phosphinyl as zinc ligand (e.g. fosinopril)
  - c. Angiotensin I analogues (e.g. phosphonic acid replacing C-terminal COOH)
  - d. Peptide surrogates (tri- or pentapeptides) ester or ketomethyl,  $-\text{COSCH}_2-$  as substitutes for peptide bond [CONH]
- 

(Modified from Kostis &amp; DeFelicis.)

mune disorders in this patient subset. Nevertheless, even when used at low doses and with careful patient selection, taste disturbances and skin rashes are more common in patients receiving captopril than in those treated with non-sulphydryl-containing ACE inhibitors.<sup>6</sup> These are dose-dependent effects which tend to occur early after the initiation of treatment, and do not always necessitate discontinuation of the medication. The mechanism underlying the rash is not well understood, but has been attributed to allergic reactions or to increased kinin and prostaglandin production.<sup>6</sup>

Since the sulphydryl group in captopril is not essential to its ACE inhibitory activity, one might

consider drugs with this moiety as having two properties; that is ACE inhibitory activity, in common with non-sulphydryl ACE inhibitors, and properties related to the sulphydryl group which may confer either side effects or beneficial effects. For example, prostaglandin levels may increase during ACE inhibitor treatment by two mechanisms: activation of phospholipase by an increased concentration of kinins (due to decreased kinin degradation by ACE, an effect shared by all ACE inhibitors) or by direct activation of phospholipase by the sulphydryl group. This enhancement of prostaglandin production has been linked with both beneficial and adverse drug effects.

Taste disturbance associated with captopril is

Table II ACE inhibitor differentiation.

- 
1. Chemical class  
e.g. zinc ligand (-SH)
  2. Prodrugs  
site and time course of bioactivation
  3. Potency  
 $K_i$   
 $\text{IC}_{50}$   
Radioligand binding displacement
  4. Pharmacokinetics  
absorption, duration of action, bioavailability, distribution, metabolism, excretion, protein binding, etc.
  5. Tissue effects  
lipophilicity  
tissue penetration, time course, dissociation constants, etc.
  6. Side effects
  7. Additional pharmacological properties (e.g. diuretic activity)
  8. Drug interactions
  9. Cost
- 

(Modified from Kostis &amp; DeFelicis.)

usually dysgeusia or hypogeusia. Objective testing has shown an increase in the threshold of detection and recognition for the four taste modalities (bitter, sweet, sour, salty) and reduced plasma zinc concentration, especially in patients treated with captopril for more than 6 months. This is not always associated with subjective complaints of taste disturbance.<sup>12</sup> In one report, patients who had such side effects tended to have lower plasma zinc concentrations than patients without side effects.<sup>13</sup> On the other hand, O'Connor *et al.*<sup>14</sup> did not observe changes in serum zinc or copper in seven patients treated with captopril 50 mg daily for 5–6 months.

Taste disorders and rash usually disappear when a non-sulphydryl ACE inhibitor is substituted for captopril, although the opposite has also been observed in one patient (i.e. disappearance of rash due to enalapril when replaced with captopril).<sup>15,16</sup>

Beneficial effects have also been attributed to the sulphydryl moiety. Sulphydryl-containing ACE inhibitor molecules form reversible disulphide links with themselves and with other sulphydryl-containing compounds and can serve as a depot form of the drugs.<sup>17</sup> In addition, activation of phospholipase and increased renal prostaglandin levels, elevated ventricular fibrillation threshold, reduction of reperfusion arrhythmias, increased coronary blood flow, decreased infarction size, and decreased myocardial stunning have all been reported with the use of captopril.

It has been suggested that the decreased myocardial stunning observed with captopril is in part due to free radical scavenging by the sulphydryl group. However, both ramipril and perindopril, ACE inhibitors that do not contain a sulphydryl group, have been shown to decrease ventricular fibrillation and increase coronary blood flow.<sup>18,19</sup> In the isolated rat heart, coronary blood flow increases after administration of captopril, but this also occurs with the *R,S*-isomer of captopril, with cysteine and with glutathione—compounds without ACE inhibitory activity. The increase in coronary flow produced by ramipril is smaller and delayed. These data have been interpreted as implying that captopril causes a more pronounced increase in coronary blood flow due to the sulphydryl group while ramipril causes a lesser increase due to the ACE inhibitory activity, probably related to increased prostaglandin levels.<sup>20</sup> In contrast intracoronary administration of enalapril in man has been shown to increase coronary blood flow despite a lower heart rate and blood pressure product that would be expected to lower coronary flow by autoregulation.<sup>21</sup> Thus the coronary vasodilatory effect of ACE inhibitors is at least partially independent of the sulphydryl group.

## Prodrugs

Prodrugs have been developed in order to enhance the poor absorption of diacid ACE inhibitors. They are usually administered in esterified form and need to be activated after absorption.<sup>22,23</sup> Because of this, prodrugs usually have a slower onset and a longer duration of action than the respective active compounds. For example, alacepril, the prodrug of captopril, has a slower onset but longer duration of action.<sup>24</sup>

Most prodrugs currently available are hydrolysed to the active diacid compound in the liver, although this may also occur in other tissues. Disease at the site of activation may therefore have important implications for patients treated with a prodrug. Liver disease, such as cirrhosis, is known to affect the time course and efficiency of bioactivation of enalapril and other prodrugs. On the other hand, absorption of the parent drug may be enhanced in cirrhosis. Thus, the area under the concentration-time curve of the prodrug may be increased while that of the active (diacid) compound may be unchanged compared with normal. In addition, cirrhosis is associated with increased variability of both absorption and bioactivation.

In patients with severe CHF, with impaired hepatic blood flow and liver function, bioactivation of enalapril is delayed.<sup>25</sup> In normal subjects the peak concentration of the active diacid compound (enalaprilat) occurs approximately 4 h after oral administration of enalapril. In patients with significant CHF, however, bioactivation is delayed and peak concentrations of the active compound occur 2 h later (6 h after ingestion). In addition to this delayed bioactivation, absorption of enalapril is delayed in patients with CHF and serum concentrations of enalaprilat are consistently higher than in normal subjects. This may be due to a decreased volume of distribution, accompanied by a decrease in plasma clearance.

The speed of bioactivation of different prodrugs may vary and may also affect the onset of action. For example, elazapril is activated rapidly while enalapril is activated at a slower rate.

Other than enhanced absorption, no extra beneficial effects of prodrugs have been reported, although theoretically prodrugs that are activated at specific sites may offer the potential for selectivity of action (i.e. drug targeting). Of the currently available ACE inhibitors, only enalapril is a prodrug.

## Potency

Another important parameter by which ACE inhibi-

bitors may be differentiated is potency. In addition to enabling a comparison between ACE inhibitors, measurements of potency are useful for screening new compounds and for the measurement of plasma concentrations of ACE inhibitors. Potency may be assayed by measuring the dissociation constant ( $K_d$ ) of the enzyme to the inhibitor. A low dissociation constant indicates high potency; the enzyme is tightly bound to the inhibitor.<sup>10</sup> This method of measuring potency is not suited to very potent ACE inhibitors,<sup>24</sup> as a steady-state kinetic approach is very difficult for these drugs.

Most methods for measuring potency are based on enzymatic kinetic techniques usually using simple synthetic substrates, and measuring the products of the reaction with fluorimetric, isotopic or spectrophotometric techniques.  $IC_{50}$ , the concentration of ACE inhibitor that is capable of inhibiting 50% of the activity of the enzyme, is thus used as an index of potency.<sup>25</sup> Another way of assessing potency is by radioinhibitor binding displacement assay. This method measures the displacement of a potent radioligand ACE inhibitor (e.g. MK-351A, the tyrosyl analogue of lisinopril) by the ACE inhibitor under study.<sup>26</sup> A potent ACE inhibitor displaces the radioligand at a lower concentration than a weak one. This method can be used to measure directly the affinity of the ACE inhibitor to the enzyme and compare potency of ACE inhibitors. In general, there is agreement about the relative potencies of ACE inhibitors as measured by different methods.<sup>29</sup> ACE inhibitor potency may be clinically relevant, since for similar elimination half-lives, more potent ACE inhibitors have a longer duration of action, allowing once-daily administration. In addition, on a theoretical basis it is possible that fewer drug interactions will occur with potent ACE inhibitors than with weak ones. Of the currently marketed compounds, lisinopril is the most potent.

#### Pharmacokinetic properties

These properties are important in determining the clinical use of ACE inhibitors. The duration of action has attracted much attention, especially concerning administration of these drugs to patients with CHF. In a comparison of captopril with enalapril, Packer *et al.*<sup>30</sup> administered fixed high doses of ACE inhibitors to patients with severe CHF who were on diuretics and digitalis. The long-acting ACE enzyme inhibitor enalapril produced a continuous depression of blood pressure without recovery of the pressure prior to the next dose. This flat blood pressure-lowering curve was associated with a higher incidence of side

effects, especially CNS and kidney effects, as compared to short-acting captopril which allowed partial recovery of the blood pressure before each dose.

On the other hand, ACE inhibitors with a long duration of action have proved beneficial in patients with CHF. In a randomised trial lisinopril, which has a longer duration of action than either captopril or enalapril, was found to increase exercise tolerance to a similar or more pronounced degree than captopril.<sup>31</sup> This was also associated with a slight increase in renal side effects.

Moreover, in the CONSENSUS trial, a randomised trial of enalapril versus placebo in patients with severe CHF, this long-acting ACE inhibitor was found to decrease mortality.<sup>32</sup> Thus, ACE inhibitors with a long duration of action are effective in patients with CHF. Similarly, in patients with hypertension, a long duration of action would appear to be a desirable attribute since it enables once-daily therapy.

#### Tissue effects

Several lines of evidence support the opinion that, in addition to the endocrine renin-angiotensin system, there is a tissue (paracrine, autocrine) or cellular (intracrine) renin-angiotensin system with physiological and pharmacological significance.<sup>33-37</sup> The lipophilicity or hydrophilicity of an ACE inhibitor may be an important factor determining penetration to different tissues, particularly the brain. Although individual ACE inhibitors may penetrate different tissues to a slightly different extent, as yet there is no evidence of a clinically relevant difference in tissue effects among the various ACE inhibitors. On the other hand, such differences may indeed emerge. It is also possible that the future use of prodrugs may enable local, selective activation in specific tissues.

#### Side effects

Although ACE inhibitors are remarkably well-tolerated compounds, they may cause significant side effects in susceptible individuals. Class-related side effects due to ACE inhibition include hypotension, hyperkalaemia, renal insufficiency, cough and angioedema. Nevertheless, as mentioned previously, the presence of a sulphhydryl group may be associated with additional or more frequent side effects of certain types, such as skin rashes and taste disturbances. As experience with the drug class continues to grow, idiosyncrasies to individual compounds may also emerge.

### Additional pharmacological properties

ACE inhibitors with additional pharmacological properties may be synthesised. DeForrest *et al.*<sup>38</sup> synthesised a series of ACE inhibitors that were a combination of captopril and a thiazide diuretic and had potent diuretic properties in addition to their ACE inhibitory activity. The nature of the bridge between two compounds was found to alter the biological activity of the hybrid. Although the presence of a single molecule with dual properties may offer theoretical advantages from a pharmacokinetic point of view, low bioavailability and other considerations make these compounds less than ideal, and their development appears to have stopped.

### Drug interactions

ACE inhibitors as a class exhibit interactions with other agents, such as enhancement of the antihypertensive effect of diuretics and beta-blockers. In addition individual ACE inhibitors may have specific interactions, such as the interaction of captopril (but not enalapril or lisinopril) with digoxin; decreased digoxin clearance has been reported in patients with CHF treated with captopril. In addition, food lowers the bioavailability of captopril but not of lisinopril or enalapril. All ACE inhibitors reduce the clearance of lithium; this interaction may enhance the risk of lithium toxicity in patients receiving these salts.

### Cost

Cost is an important consideration when common chronic diseases such as hypertension are considered in a climate of fiscal constraint. Pricing structure depends on the setting (hospital, or outpatient), type of purchase (bulk or retail), the individual country under consideration, clinical use, the regulatory climate and other factors. Clear-cut differences between the three currently available ACE inhibitors are not apparent, although these drugs as a class are more expensive than some older antihypertensive agents, such as diuretics.

### Conclusions

As the number of ACE inhibitors increases, it is becoming apparent that they may be differentiated by a number of criteria, including chemical structure, potency, pharmacokinetics, effects on tissue ACE, side effects, and whether the drug is directly

acting or requires bioactivation from a prodrug form.

**Chemical structure**, notably the group which functions as a zinc ligand is important. The sulphhydryl group of captopril is associated with taste and skin disorders but, conversely, certain beneficial effects, e.g. on reperfusion injury, have been attributed to this chemical group in captopril.<sup>4</sup>

**Prodrugs** must be activated, usually by hydrolysis in the liver, after absorption. In the case of concomitant disease at the site of bioactivation (e.g. cirrhosis) or decreased blood flow (e.g. CHF), increased variability of blood concentrations and delayed onset of action may occur.

**High potency** enhances the duration of action, allowing once-daily dosing, and, in theory, may result in a reduced probability of non-pharmacological drug interactions.

**Pharmacokinetic properties**, especially half-life, are also important. A long duration of action is preferable in the treatment of hypertension. Controversy surrounds the merits of a long duration of action in the treatment of CHF, as high fixed doses of enalapril have caused more persistent lowering of blood pressure and more CNS and renal dysfunction than captopril. However, in other studies, enalapril has been found to reduce mortality in patients with severe CHF, and results with lisinopril demonstrate sustained clinical and haemodynamic improvement.

**Inhibition of tissue ACE** is now believed to play a role in the clinical effects of ACE inhibitors. Differences in tissue affinity, lipophilicity or hydrophilicity, kinetics and bioactivation may provide additional parameters for differentiation of ACE inhibitors in the future.

**Additional pharmacological properties.** ACE inhibitors with diuretic activity were synthesised but did not reach the stage of clinical development.

**Side effects.** Although class side effects (hypotension, renal insufficiency, hyperkalaemia, cough, angioedema) are common to all ACE inhibitors, the presence of a sulphhydryl group has been associated with more frequent occurrence of skin rash and taste disturbances.

Similarly, in addition to class-related drug interactions (e.g., enhancement of the antihypertensive effect of diuretics), drug-specific interactions have been reported (e.g. reduced digoxin clearance with captopril).

Cost is an important consideration in treating chronic disorders such as hypertension, but does not differ significantly among the ACE inhibitor class.

Currently, duration of action, the presence of a sulphhydryl group, and the need for bioactivation

form the most clinically relevant differences between ACE inhibitors.

#### Acknowledgement

Supported in part by The John G. Detwiler Fund

#### References

- Cushman, D., Sabo, E. & Ondetti, M. Design of potent competitive inhibitors of angiotensin-converting enzyme carboxyalkanoyl and mercaptotalkanoyl amino acids. *Biochemistry* 1977, 16: 5484-91.
- Pachetti, A., Harris, E. & Tristram, E. A new class of angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors. *Nature* 1980, 288: 280-3.
- Kostis, J.B. & DeFelice, E.A. (ed). *Angiotensin converting enzyme inhibitors*. Alan R. Liss, New York, 1987.
- DeFelice, E.A. & Kostis, J.B. New ACE inhibitors. In: Kostis, J.B. & DeFelice, E.A. (eds) *Angiotensin converting enzyme inhibitors*. Alan R. Liss, New York, 1987, pp 213-261.
- Materson, B.J. New indications for ACE inhibitors. In: Kostis, J.B. & DeFelice, E.A. (eds) *Angiotensin converting enzyme inhibitors*. Alan R. Liss, New York, 1987, pp 187-211.
- Williams, G.H. Converting-enzyme inhibitors in the treatment of hypertension. *N Engl J Med* 1988, 319: 1517-1525.
- Kostis, J.B. Angiotensin converting enzyme inhibitors. *J Pharmacology, Am Heart J* 1988, 116: 1580-1605.
- Kostis, J.B. Angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors: emerging differences and new compounds. *Am J Hypertens* 1989, 2: 57-64.
- ACE inhibitors—the trickle becomes a flood. *Lancet* 1988, ii: 885-886.
- Kostis, J.B., Raia, J.J. Jr., DeFelice, E.A., et al. Comparative clinical pharmacology of ACE inhibitors. In: Kostis, J.B. & DeFelice, E.A. (eds) *Angiotensin converting enzyme inhibitors*. Alan R. Liss, New York, 1987.
- Jaffe, I.A. Adverse effects profile of sulphhydryl compounds in man. *Am J Med* 1986, 80: 471-476.
- Abu-Hamdan, D.K., Desai, H., Sondheimer, J., Felicetta, J., Mahajan, S. & McDonald, F. Taste acuity and zinc metabolism in captopril-treated hypertensive male patients. *Am J Hypertens* 1988, 1: 303S-308S.
- Zumkley, H., Bertram, H.P., Vetter, H., Zidek, W. & Losse, H. Zinc metabolism during captopril treatment. *Horm Metab Res* 1985, 17: 256-258.
- O'Connor, D.T., Strause, L., Salzman, P., Farmer, R.J. & Cervenka, J. Serum zinc is unaffected by effective captopril treatment of hypertension. *J Clin Hypertens* 1987, 3: 405-408.
- Jackson, B., Maher, D., Matthews, P.G., McGrath, B.P. & Johnston, C.I. Lack of cross sensitivity between captopril and enalapril. *Aust NZ J Med* 1988, 18: 21-27.
- Kubo, S.H. & Cody, R.J. Enalapril, a rash, and captopril. *Ann Intern Med* 1984, 100: 616.
- Ondetti, M.A. Structural relationships of angiotensin converting-enzyme inhibitors to pharmacologic activity. *Circulation* 1988, 77: 174-178.
- Li, K. & Chen, X. Protective effects of captopril and enalapril on myocardial ischemia and reperfusion damage of rat. *Cell Cardiol* 1987, 19: 909-915.
- Rochette, L., Ribout, C., Belichard, P., Bril, A. & Devissaguet, M. Protective effect of angiotensin converting enzyme inhibitors (CEI): captopril and perindopril on vulnerability to ventricular fibrillation during myocardial ischemia and reperfusion in rat. *Clin Exp Ther Pract* 1987, 49(2&3): 365-368.
- van Gilst, W.H., Scholten, E., de Graeff, P.A., de Laen, C.D. & Wesseling, H. Differential influences of angiotensin converting-enzyme inhibitors on the coronary circulation. *Circulation* 1988, 77(6): 124-129.
- Foult, J.M., Tavorolo, O., Antony, I. & Nitenberg, A. Direct myocardial and coronary effects of enalaprilat in patients with dilated cardiomyopathy: assessment by a bilateral intracoronary infusion technique. *Circulation* 1988, 77: 337-344.
- Given, B.D., Taylor, T., Hollenberg, N.K., et al. Duration of action and short-term hormonal responses to enalapril (MK 421) in normal subjects. *J Cardiovasc Pharmacol* 1984, 6: 436-441.
- Patchett, A.A. The chemistry of enalapril. *Br J Clin Pharmacol* 1984, 18: 201S-207S.
- Takeyama, K., et al. Antihypertensive activity of alacepril, an orally active angiotensin converting enzyme inhibitor, in renal hypertensive rats and dogs. *Arzneimittelforschung* 1983, 35: 1502-1507.
- Dickstein, K., Till, A.E., Aarsland, T., Tjelta, K., Abrahamson, A.M., Kristianson, K., Gomez, H.J., Gregg, H. & Hichens, M. The pharmacokinetics of enalapril in hospitalised patients with congestive heart failure. *Am J Clin Pharmacol* 1987, 23: 403-410.
- Bull, H.G., Thornberry, N.A., Cordes, M.H., et al. Inhibition of rabbit lung angiotensin-converting enzyme by N alpha-[S]-1-carboxy-3-phenylpropyl-L-alanyl-L-proline. *J Biol Chem* 1983, 258: 2952-2962.
- Cushman, D.W. & Cheung, H.S. Spectrophotometric assay and properties of the angiotensin converting enzyme of rabbit lung. *Biochem Pharmacol* 1971, 20: 1637-1648.
- Jackson, B., Cubela, R. & Johnston, C.I. Angiotensin converting enzyme inhibitors: Measurement of relative inhibitory potency and serum drug levels by



- radioinhibitor binding displacement assay. *J Cardiovasc Pharmacol* 1987, 9: 699-704.
29. Johnston, C.I., Cubela, R. & Jackson, B. Relative inhibitory potency and plasma drug levels of angiotensin converting enzyme inhibitors in the rat. *Clin Exp Pharmacol Physiol* 1988, 15: 123-129.
30. Packer, M., Lee, W.H., Yushak, M. & Medina, N. Comparison of captopril and enalapril in patients with severe chronic heart failure. *N Engl J Med* 1986, 315: 847-853.
31. Powers, E.R., Chiraramida, A., DeMaria, A.N., et al. A double-blind comparison of lisinopril with captopril in patients with symptomatic congestive heart failure. *J Cardiovasc Pharmacol* 1987, 9(3): S82-S88.
32. The CONSENSUS Trial Study Group. Effects of enalapril on mortality in severe congestive heart failure. Results of the Cooperative North Scandinavian Enalapril Survival Study (CONSENSUS). *N Engl J Med* 1987, 316: 1429-1435.
33. Unger, T., Ganten, D. & Lang, R.E. Effecting of converting enzyme inhibitors on tissue converting enzyme and angiotensin II: Therapeutic implications. *Am J Cardiol* 1987, 59: 18D-22D.
34. Dzau, V.J., Ellison, K.E. & Ouellette, A.J. Expression and regulation of renin in the mouse heart. *Clin Res* 1985, 33: 181A.
35. Ganten, D., Balz, W., Hense, H.W. & Schölkens, B.A. Characterization and regulation of angiotensin (ANG) peptides in tissue of rabbits and primates. *J Hypertens* 1985, 3: 5552-5553.
36. Nakamura, Y., Nakamura, K. & Nakamura, K. Difference in response of vascular angiotensin converting enzyme activity to cilazapril in SHR. *Clin Exp Hypertens* 1987, 49(2&3): 351-356.
37. Dzau, V.J. Implications of local angiotensin production in cardiovascular physiology and pharmacology. *Am J Cardiol* 1987, 59(2): 59A-65A.
38. DeForrest, J.M., Waldron, T.L., Powell, J.R., Floyd, D.M. & Sundeen, J.E. SQ 27,785 and SQ 28,853: Two angiotensin converting enzyme inhibitors with potent diuretic activity. *J Cardiovasc Pharmacol* 1987, 9: 154-159.